

674410

JUDICIAL WATCH
425 Third Street, SW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

www.judicialwatch.org
Email: info@judicialwatch.org

July 22, 2019

PETITION TO: ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE, KATHLEEN M. HOBBS

For State Resident:

Mr. Eric Raines


Ref: Increasing numbers of Americans no longer trust the integrity of our elections as the left attacks U.S. electoral safeguards.

To: Eric Raines

Americans from all walks of life and areas of the country have come to believe that the U.S. electoral system as managed by their respective **Secretary of State** and overseen by the **National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (NVRA)** has serious problems.

The conservative public interest organization **Judicial Watch**, organized under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Service Code, has launched a series of investigations and lawsuits against electoral officials of both political parties in certain states regarding charges of dereliction and potential malfeasance in the performance of their lawful electoral duties.

Several of these **Judicial Watch** investigations and lawsuits are currently active and more are contemplated. electoral officials of both political parties in certain states regarding charges of dereliction and potential malfeasance in the performance of their lawful electoral duties. by signing and returning the enclosed **DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION** for **Judicial Watch** investigations into the accuracy of voter registration lists in your state and in all 50 states.

As a resident of Arizona, you are being asked if you will show your support for these actions
One of the principal functions of state offices of **Secretary of State** is to promote and support accurate, fair, open and secure elections for its citizens. In doing so, these offices typically oversee voter registration, absentee voting, ballot access for candidates, campaign finance disclosure and voting procedures. **Judicial Watch** passionately believes that our elections should be conducted honestly and by the letter of the law administered by your Arizona Secretary of State.
Your signature on this **DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION** does not make you a party to, or bind you in any way to the outcome of **Judicial Watch's** investigations and lawsuits. But your

signature does allow **Judicial Watch** to establish an appropriate record of public support of any investigative and litigation proceedings into the public voter registration records maintained by the offices of your **Secretary of State** and other states, and any failure to comply with U.S. election law and its requirement that voter registration lists are accurately maintained.

It will help **Judicial Watch** establish a foundation of public support in each state office of **Secretary of State** across the United States to substantiate sufficient public interest and benefit in the efficacy of pursuing these investigative and legal actions.

If you agree, please sign the enclosed DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION and return it immediately in the registered dispatch envelope provided.

I. THE FACTS REGARDING INACCURATE VOTER REGISTRATION LISTS AND MOUNTING EVIDENCE OF VOTER FRAUD.

A Rasmussen poll from 2016 reported that only 41% of those polled believe "American elections are fair to voters." A **Washington Post-ABC News** poll from 2016 found that 46% of those polled believed that voter fraud happens either "somewhat" or "very" often.

Particularly revealing is a **Gallup** poll that compared American attitudes with those of other countries. In their poll taken in 2016 – *before* the parties' national conventions that summer – Americans were asked if they had confidence in the "honesty of elections." A "record-low 30%" said that they did, while an astonishing 69% said that they did not.

At its heart, the problem is about voter registration lists and their typically inaccurate condition that lends credence to the public perception that our nation's electoral system is not functioning properly. That's because poor voter registration list maintenance practices create "dirty lists" that are open invitations to the commission of voter fraud on a scale sufficiently significant in size to affect the outcome of elections.

In point of fact, this is why in 1993 Congress mandated all-important voter list maintenance obligations when passing the **National Voter Registration Act (NVRA)**.

As the **NVRA** itself makes clear in its "**Findings and Purposes**," it was enacted to achieve two different goals. It was intended, first, to "increase the number of eligible citizens who register to vote," enhancing their "participation . . . in elections for Federal office"; and, second, to "protect the integrity of the electoral process," ensuring "that accurate and current voter rolls are maintained."

The first goal of increasing eligible registrants was intended to be met by increasing the number of state offices where citizens are offered the opportunity to register to vote. The most significant **NVRA** provision supporting this goal is the requirement that every application for a state driver's license must serve as well as a voter registration application, unless an applicant does not wish to register or is already registered.

There is good evidence that this first goal of the **NVRA** has been largely realized. For example, in the twenty-year period starting in 1992, a year before the **NVRA** was enacted, through 2012, the registration rate increased nationally by more than 11%.

The second goal of protecting electoral integrity by ensuring accurate and current voter rolls was supposed to be achieved by the **NVRA's** requirement to "conduct a general program that makes a

reasonable effort to remove the names of ineligible voters” from the rolls if they have died or moved elsewhere.

But mounting evidence suggests that this second goal has not been met. Therefore, it is a priority of **Judicial Watch** to continue to expose, pursue and litigate incompetence, malfeasance and corruption in the administration and oversight of America’s elections.

Seven years ago, these charges were brought forcefully to national attention by a credible and authoritative study noting that “24 million – one of every eight – voter registrations in the United States are no longer valid or are significantly inaccurate”; that “1.8 million deceased individuals are listed as voters,” and that “2.75 million people have registrations in more than one state.”

Based on **Judicial Watch’s** current research, there is every reason to believe that these problems have gotten worse. In July 2017, the **Election Assistance Commission (EAC)** publicly released the responses provided to its most recent election administration survey. By law, the Commission is required to submit a report to Congress every two years “assessing the impact” of the **NVRA** “on the administration of elections for Federal office during the preceding 2-year period.” States are required to provide the information requested by the Commission.

Judicial Watch hired a political scientist and demographer to compare the registration information contained in the Commission’s report with the latest census data. We also contacted particular counties directly to obtain or confirm certain data.

Our study indicates a pervasive failure by state and county officials to fulfill the voter list maintenance obligations imposed by the NVRA. There are over 2,800 counties in states covered by the **NVRA**. What is especially troubling is that of these 415, or about 15% of all covered counties, did not report sending any address confirmation notices during the two-year period from 2014 to 2016. This suggests a widespread failure to comply with the **NVRA**. Moreover, of the counties that *did* report sending address confirmation notices, another 581, or about 20% of the total, reported sending notices during the last two-year period to fewer than 5% of their registered voters. Since the **U.S. Census Bureau** reports that about 11% of Americans move *every year*, these low rates also lend credence to our belief that these counties are not diligently conducting voter list maintenance.

Counties’ overall registration rates also reveal compliance issues and potential problems. For example, our study showed that, in 462 U.S. counties, the number of voter registrations exceeded the number of citizens over the age of 18 who resided in those counties. In other words, those counties’ registration rates exceeded 100% of the population eligible to register.

That, of course, is simply incredible.

Federal courts have repeatedly held that an imbalance between registrations and age-eligible citizens is grounds sufficient for believing that a jurisdiction is not living up to its list maintenance obligations. These 462 counties constitute about 17% of all U.S. counties covered by the **NVRA** where we have enough data to make these calculations.

These many facts alone show widespread noncompliance with the NVRA.

It is the contention of **Judicial Watch** that the problem, moreover, is now worse than it was even a few years ago. When **Judicial Watch** conducted a similar registration analysis in 2015, we found that 312 counties covered by the **NVRA** had more registered voters than voting-age citizen

population, which was about 11% of all counties where we had the data necessary to make this comparison.

As federal courts have already acknowledged, the fact that a county has a registration rate exceeding 100% is strong circumstantial evidence that that county is not conducting a reasonable program of voter list maintenance and therefore leaving itself wide open to voter fraud.

II. THE OBAMA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FAILED TO ENFORCE THE NVRA'S LIST MAINTENANCE PROVISIONS AND IMPAIRED STATES' LEGITIMATE EFFORTS TO MAINTAIN THEIR VOTER ROLLS.

From 2005 to 2007, list maintenance claims were included in federal complaints filed by the **Department of Justice (DOJ)** against **Missouri, Maine, New Jersey, Indiana**, and the city of **Philadelphia**. And the **DOJ** ultimately obtained court-ordered consent decrees with **Maine, New Jersey, and Indiana**, and concluded a settlement agreement with the city of **Philadelphia**.

I know this because Robert Popper, who now heads **Judicial Watch's Election Integrity Project** managed each of those litigations for the **Department of Justice** before joining us in 2013. But the last of the **NVRA**-related consent decrees expired in 2009.

In fact, he was present at a meeting in November 2009 in which the Deputy Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Voting Section of the **DOJ** told the staff that these **Section 8** (voter list maintenance requirements) cases were no longer a priority. From that time until the present, the Department of Justice has not filed a single complaint involving a claim under the voter list maintenance requirements of the NVRA.

Another incredible, but true, fact.

To our knowledge, during this time the **DOJ** did not send any state or county a notice letter indicating that it intended to sue to enforce those provisions. We know, moreover, that there were many states that the Department could have targeted for enforcement action during the intervening years.

But during the **Obama administration** the **DOJ** appears to have completely abandoned all efforts to enforce the list maintenance requirements of the NVRA. Even worse, the **Department of Justice** engaged in litigation specifically intended to limit the ability of states to remove ineligible registrations from the rolls. I will cite just one example of many that we are aware of:

In 2012, **Florida** sought to conduct a list maintenance program designed to remove noncitizens from its voter rolls. The **Department of Justice** and, in a separate action a group of left-leaning advocacy organizations, sued to enjoin **Florida's** program. The **NVRA** requires that any program to cancel the registrations of those who have moved must stop during the 90 days right before an election. Both the **DOJ** and the private litigants argued that this provision prevented **Florida** from attempting to remove *noncitizens* from the voter rolls during that 90-day period. The argument is extraordinary, considering that noncitizens were never eligible to register or vote, and, consequently, that they only could be listed on the voter rolls because of an error, or by means of a fraudulent registration.

Each of the district courts that heard this argument rejected it. But the private plaintiffs appealed, and, in a surprise 2-1 ruling, an 11th Circuit panel accepted their argument and reversed the lower court's decision. Just as surprising, the administration of Republican Governor Rick Scott refused to appeal that ruling to the **U.S. Supreme Court**. As a result, it is now the law in the 11th Circuit that

noncitizens may not be systematically removed from the voter rolls in the 90 days before an election. My point is not merely that the result is wrong, even outrageous, but that the U.S. Department of Justice actively sought this result.

We therefore urge the **Department of Justice** to immediately investigate states' and counties' voter list maintenance programs, and to notify and then sue those jurisdictions that fail to comply with these obligations imposed by **Section 8** of the **NVRA**. With our research showing 462 counties with registration rates greater than 100% of the voting age population, there is currently a large number of potential targets for enforcement.

III. SINCE LAUNCHING OUR ELECTION INTEGRITY PROJECT, JUDICIAL WATCH HAS SCORED A REMARKABLE SERIES OF SUCCESSES IN UPHOLDING THE RULE OF LAW AND HONEST ELECTIONS.

- In **Florida**, we threatened legal action that led to state election officials removing over 50,000 deceased voters from the voter rolls.
- In **Missouri** and **West Virginia**, warning letters from **Judicial Watch** succeeded in spurring election officials in those states to begin cleaning their voter rolls.
- In **Pennsylvania** and **South Carolina**, we successfully defended voter ID laws in court.
- Our lawsuits in **Ohio** and **Indiana** led to cleaning voter rolls and other actions that will protect against voter fraud. (**Ohio's** voter registration law was upheld by the **U.S. Supreme Court** last year, a decision which validated the historic settlement entered into by **Judicial Watch** and the **State of Ohio**).
- In July 2018, a federal court issued a consent decree...to which **Judicial Watch**, the **Commonwealth of Kentucky** and even the Justice Department were parties...in which **Kentucky** agreed to clean its voter rolls. This consent decree is the direct result of a **Judicial Watch** lawsuit filed against **Kentucky** in 2017 over its inadequate voter list maintenance practices.
- And we began 2019 with a stunning victory on behalf of honest elections in the nation's largest state and largest county! Our lawsuit settlement agreement with the **State of California** and **Los Angeles County** compels them to begin removing as many as invalid 1.5 million registered names in **LA County** alone. The agreement also stipulates that the **California Secretary of State** notify all other California counties that they are obligated to remove ineligible names from their voter rolls. This historic settlement agreement is sending shock waves through the offices of state election officials across the country.

Judicial Watch is proud of its efforts to enforce the NVRA.

But the **Department of Justice** should be leading this work. The Department has far greater resources at its disposal than **Judicial Watch** and is far more likely to obtain the voluntary cooperation of states and counties when it notifies them of a potential violation. Moreover, the consequences of these failures to act far exceed any flawed election law policy. ***In our informed opinion, electoral corruption endangers the sanctity and foundation of the rule of law which binds our republic together.***

Therefore, the U.S. courts have become the "battleground of last resort" for the future of our elections. And **Judicial Watch** has emerged as the most effective non-profit, non-governmental organization in the United States leading this fight for election integrity.

IV. CENTRAL TO OUR FIGHT IS THE NOTION THAT THE NATIONAL VOTER REGISTRATION ACT (NVRA) SHOULD AND MUST BE ENFORCED IN ITS ENTIRETY.

Clearly, there are many sound public policy and legal reasons for enforcing the voter registration list maintenance provisions of the **NVRA** requiring states to clean their voter rolls.

We have all heard about voter fraud and attempts by liberal media organs like *The New York Times* and "ivory tower" academics to dismiss it as a nonexistent problem. **But voter fraud is in fact real, widespread, and substantial to the point that it can and does decide elections.**

And clean, accurate voter registration rolls help stop voter fraud.

Whether impersonation fraud, absentee ballot fraud, registration fraud, double voting, noncitizen voting fraud, dead people voting fraud, or voting by those otherwise ineligible under state law, keeping registration rolls accurate helps stop voter fraud.

Judicial Watch's recent successes in compelling states like **Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky** and now **California** to get into compliance with **NVRA** by taking reasonable steps to clean their voter registration lists — and issuing notices of potential non-compliance to an additional **TWELVE STATES** — has triggered a counterattack.

In a blatantly political effort to lull the public to sleep, the liberal media are conducting a deeply cynical campaign to convince the American people that **Judicial Watch**-initiated investigations of potential voter registration/voter fraud connections are "bogus," "trivial" and "without legal merit." For that reason, **Judicial Watch** seeks to counter the general media silence about the incidence of voter fraud with public pressure by gathering signatures in every **State** to unequivocally establish the importance, legitimacy and clear public support of our work across every region of the country.

The goal is to gather signatures in all 50 states. And the purpose of this letter is to determine if you, as a legal U.S. resident and registered voter of your state, will support our goal of establishing an unassailable record of support for **Judicial Watch's efforts to hold states accountable to the rule of law and the U.S. Constitution by signing and returning the enclosed **DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION.****

YOUR SIGNATURE ON THIS **DECLARATION PETITION** ALLOWS **JUDICIAL WATCH** TO HELP ESTABLISH THIS NECESSARY RECORD OF **PUBLIC SUPPORT** FOR ITS INVESTIGATIVE AND LITIGATION PROCEEDINGS INTO VOTER REGISTRATION LIST IRREGULARITIES IN COUNTIES AND STATES.

If you agree, please sign the enclosed **DECLARATION PETITION and return it immediately in the postage-prepaid registered dispatch envelope provided.**

Over the past quarter-century, **Judicial Watch** has become the most active litigator against corruption in state and federal governments on behalf of the American people. **And while we are proudly conservative, we are just as proudly non-partisan. We routinely expose and prosecute both corrupt Republican and Democratic politicians and public officials.** And while **Judicial**

Watch is proud of its efforts to enforce the voter registration maintenance requirements of the **NVRA**, it is imperative that the **Trump/Barr Department of Justice** redirect its enforcement efforts more constructively and within the letter and spirit of the law. Because, quite candidly, taking on all 50 states and 2,800 counties covered by the NVRA is a monumental task.

That's not all...in addition to the failure to enforce the voter registration maintenance requirements of the **NVRA**, **Judicial Watch** has identified 8 additional critical challenges to protecting the integrity of our elections in 2020 and beyond including:

- All mail-in ballot voting
- Ballot harvesting
- Automatic voter registration
- Abolition of voter ID requirements
- Abolition of voter residency requirements
- Abolition of the Electoral College
- Same-day voter registration
- Out-of-precinct voting

And given the enormous importance to the future of our Republic, it is vital that an unassailable record of support of Judicial Watch's lawsuits and investigations into these activities be established.

If you agree, I must also ask if you would be willing to support your **Judicial Watch** with a tax-deductible contribution of at least \$35 today. The caseload and related costs of our work is at a record high and growing rapidly. We depend on the voluntary contributions from citizens and patriots like you to continue our work.

Additionally, your financial support helps enable us to pass the required threshold of broad public financial support needed to ensure that the IRS cannot withdraw our non-profit 501 (c) (3) status. Your tax-deductible contribution is vital to enabling **Judicial Watch** to continue to be an effective independent government watchdog.

When you make a contribution in response to my letter, I will also send you our 2020 Election Edition of **8 Things You Can Do to Stop Voter Fraud Handbook**...an invaluable citizen action tool you can use to fight voter fraud locally as well. You'll also read details of the 9 critical challenges we face today in protecting the integrity of our elections... challenges that **Judicial Watch** is confronting every day in the courts.

V. STANDING FIRM FOR THE RULE OF LAW AND THE PEOPLE'S RIGHT TO KNOW

You are being asked to participate in this undertaking because it is thought you share our strong belief in fair, open and honest elections. Political corruption and circumvention of our election laws are an affront to the rule of law. If not stopped, they can spread and ultimately destroy our Republic. And let it be known that whether or not the **Trump/Barr Justice Department** investigates and enforces all provisions of the **National Voter Registration Act...Judicial Watch will!** And whether or not the media educates the American people about the threats to the integrity of our electoral system...**Judicial Watch will!**

Your vote and the lawful votes of fellow American citizens must not be cancelled by illegal votes

and other corruptions of our voting rights.

This is indeed a critical battle...for the rule of law, and for the future of our nation. **President Trump is clearly with us in this fight...but he urgently needs help!** That's why **Judicial Watch's** unique and independent work – researching, investigating, and litigating – is so important at this time.

Judicial Watch battles every day to educate the American people about what their government is up to, and we seek to demonstrate to our government leaders that behind us stands the power, passion and sovereignty of the people of the United States. That this is not just our fight for honest elections, it is America's fight. It is *your* fight. And the way you can help us win is to:

- Sign the enclosed **DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION** to help us establish an appropriate record of support in **Arizona** and in all 50 states for our investigations and litigation into potential voting and voter registration irregularities;
- Contribute at least \$35 to help sustain this ongoing undertaking, and all Judicial Watch's investigations and litigation that fight *for* the rule of law and the American people's right to know, and *against* public corruption. ***It is work we believe must continue.***

So, Mr. Raines, let me be clear about this. Your signed **DECLARATION PETITION** does not make you a party to, or bind you in any way to, the outcome of our lawsuits. But your **DECLARATION OF SUPPORT PETITION** to promote the rule of law is extremely important. This effort is non-partisan and protects the integrity of our elections. So please sign your enclosed document and include your contribution of at least \$35.

Sincerely,



Thomas Fitton
President

P.S. Taking on the federal and state governments in court and investigating the mountains of election data are both daunting and expensive. Your signed **DECLARATION OF SUPPORT PETITION** is a powerful tool that helps regardless of any actions the Trump administration does or does not undertake with this issue. So, too, is your most generous tax-deductible gift.

P.P.S. Working to keep our elections fair, open and honest are top priorities for **Judicial Watch**. In recognition of your contribution, I want to send you our 2020 Election Edition of **8 Things You Can Do Now to Help Stop Voter Fraud** citizen handbook containing invaluable information about the challenges facing us in the 2020 elections. The citizen action handbook plus 12 issues of our hard-hitting monthly newsletter, **The Verdict** are yours by returning the "**Special Offer**" enclosure with your signed **DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION** and maximum contribution to **Judicial Watch**. Thank you.

DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION

FOR THE INVESTIGATIONS AND LAWSUITS BY JUDICIAL WATCH INTO ALLEGATIONS OF STATE FAILURES TO COMPLY WITH U.S. ELECTION LAW REQUIRING STATES TO TAKE REASONABLE STEPS TO MAINTAIN ACCURATE VOTER REGISTRATION LISTS

PETITION TO: ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE, KATHLEEN M. HOBBS

For State Resident:

Mr. Eric Raines
2030 W. Baseline Rd. Ste. 182-521
Phoenix, AZ 85041-6574
|||||



425 Third Street, SW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024
email: info@judicialwatch.org
www.judicialwatch.org

I, the undersigned U.S. citizen, **Mr. Eric Raines**, residing in **Phoenix, AZ**, do hereby **DECLARE MY SUPPORT** for the ongoing investigations and litigation of and by the public interest, non-profit organization **Judicial Watch** into allegations of failures by certain states to comply with all list maintenance requirements as required by federal law in order to take reasonable steps to clean voter registration lists and reduce the presence and incidence of potential voter fraud, and

I, a registered voter of the state of **Arizona**, therefore **PETITION** you to comply with all list maintenance requirements (**Section 8**) of the **NATIONAL VOTER REGISTRATION ACT OF 1993**.

< On or after this date: July 23, 2019 P19240SEE
< Signed: [Signature] 2226369888
< Eric Raines
< Resident Voter of: Phoenix, AZ
< **REF: OFFICE OF ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE**

Do Not Detach Do Not Detach Do Not Detach

DECLARATION PLEDGE OF SUPPORT

[] I have signed and am returning the above **DECLARATION OF PUBLIC SUPPORT PETITION** indicating my support of Judicial Watch's investigations and litigation into failures by some states to comply with the **National Voter Registration Act** requirement to take reasonable steps to clean their voter registration lists in order to make them more accurate and thereby reduce the presence and incidence of voter fraud.

[] In further support of this undertaking, I am including a tax-deductible contribution payable to Judicial Watch in the following amount:

- [] \$35 [] \$50 [] \$100 [] \$250 [] \$500
- [] \$1,000 [] \$5,000 [] \$10,000 [] \$_____ (Other)

Please return this entire form and your best gift to Judicial Watch using the enclosed reply envelope.

PLEASE SEE REVERSE TO CONTRIBUTE BY CREDIT CARD. Judicial Watch is organized under 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Your charitable gift is very much appreciated and tax-deductible to the full extent of the law.

Yes, I am interested in including Judicial Watch in my estate plans. Please send me information.

Please provide your Email in the box to sign up to receive our online weekly "Judicial Watch Update!" _____ @ _____ Thank you!

Five more ways to fight corruption:



Go to our Internet website at www.JudicialWatch.org and click on **"Fight Corruption Now"** to learn more ways you can take immediate action to fight against public corruption and for the rule of law.

Stay connected!



A copy of our latest financial report may be obtained by writing to Judicial Watch at 425 Third Street, SW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. If you are a resident of any of the following states, you may obtain information directly by contacting that state's reporting agency. In **FLORIDA**: A COPY OF THE OFFICIAL REGISTRATION AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE DIVISION OF CONSUMER SERVICES BY CALLING 1-800-435-7352, TOLL-FREE WITHIN THE STATE. In **MARYLAND**: Copies of documents and information submitted by Judicial Watch are available for the cost of copies and postage from the Secretary of the State, Statehouse, Annapolis, MD 21401, 1-800-825-4510. In **MISSISSIPPI**: The official registration and financial information of Judicial Watch may be obtained from the Mississippi Secretary of State's office by calling 1-800-236-6167. In **NEW JERSEY**: INFORMATION FILED WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL CONCERNING THIS CHARITABLE SOLICITATION AND THE PERCENTAGE OF CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVED BY THE CHARITY DURING THE LAST REPORTING PERIOD THAT WERE DEDICATED TO THE CHARITABLE PURPOSE MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY BY CALLING (973) 504-6215 AND IS AVAILABLE ON THE INTERNET AT www.njconsumeraffairs.gov/ocp.htm#charity. REGISTRATION WITH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DOES NOT IMPLY ENDORSEMENT. In **NEW YORK**: New York residents may obtain a copy of Judicial Watch's annual report by writing to the office of Attorney General, Department of Law, Charities Bureau, 120 Broadway, New York, NY 10271. In **NORTH CAROLINA**: Financial information about Judicial Watch Inc. and a copy of its license are available from the State Solicitation Licensing Branch at (919) 733-4510. In **PENNSYLVANIA**: The official registration and financial information of Judicial Watch may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of State by calling toll-free, within Pennsylvania, 1-800-732-0999. In **VIRGINIA**: A financial statement for the most recent fiscal year is available upon request from the Division of Office of Consumer Services, P.O. Box 1163, Richmond VA 23209; 1-804-786-1343. In **WASHINGTON**: You may obtain additional financial disclosure information by contacting the Secretary of State at 1-800-332-GIVE. In **WEST VIRGINIA**: West Virginia residents may obtain a summary of the registration and financial documents from the Secretary of State, State Capitol, Charleston, WV 25305. REGISTRATION WITH A STATE AGENCY DOES NOT CONSTITUTE OR IMPLY ENDORSEMENT, APPROVAL OR RECOMMENDATION BY THAT STATE.

Please make your tax-deductible check payable to Judicial Watch (see below to pay by credit card). If you want to make your contribution online and put your gift to work immediately, please go to www.JudicialWatch.org and click on "Donate." Thank you!

I prefer to make my one-time contribution of \$ _____ by credit card.

Please charge my: MC VISA AMX Discover

Account Number: _____

Exp. Date ____ / ____ / ____ Today's Date ____ / ____ / ____

Signature: _____

OR, To help put Judicial Watch on a sound long-term financial footing, I prefer to make an automatic monthly tax-deductible credit card gift of: \$ _____

I understand that I can discontinue this automatic monthly gift at any time by writing to:



Judicial Watch, Development Office
425 Third Street, SW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

Please make your tax-deductible check payable to Judicial Watch. When you contribute by check, you authorize Judicial Watch either to use information from your check to make a one-time electronic funds transfer from your account, or to process the contribution as a check transaction. Thank you! Contributions to Judicial Watch are tax-deductible to the extent allowed by law.

Please return this entire form and your best gift to Judicial Watch in the enclosed reply envelope. Thank you!